1	Stanislav Arbit					
2	5344 E Diamond Ave					
3	Mesa, AZ 85206					
4	Phone: 480-818-4418					
5	Email: stan@securepower.io					
6	Plaintiff					
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
10	PHOENIX DIVISION					
11						
12		\	CACENO CUOS 00533 PHIN CPI			
13	Stanislav Arbit)	CASE NO.:CV23-00533-PHX-SPL			
14	Plaintiff,)	DEGLADATION OF CHANGE ANA DDIT			
15	VS.)	DECLARATION OF STANISLAV ARBIT			
16			IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR			
17	SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC)	RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER TO			
18	SE, a foreign entity,)	EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER			
19	Defendant.)				
20)				
21 22)				
23)	G 1: Fil 1 02/20/22			
24)	Complaint Filed: 03/29/23			
25)	Judge Steven P Logan			
26)				
27)				
28)				

	Stanislav	Arbit	declare	as	follows
۱,	Diamsia v	I M OIL.	acciaic	$\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{O}}$	TOTIO W S.

1. I am representing myself in case no.: CV23-00533-PHX-SPL. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration of Order to Extend Time to File an Answer. The following facts are within my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness herein, I can and will competently testify thereto.

2.

- A. Defendant was served a summons on July 07, 2023;
- B. On July 20, 2023, Defendant made the first request to Plaintiff for an extension to file an answer;
- C. On July 21, 2023, Plaintiff denied the request for an extension to file an answer;
- D. On 07/24/2023, Defendant filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer Complaint or Otherwise Respond to Complaint (Dkt. 16); This motion was not brought in good faith and with reasonable cause;
- E. Motion (Dkt. 20) was granted without a response from Plaintiff because the deadline to file an answer was imminent;
- F. Defendant was first made aware of the case about five months ago and has had multiple opportunities to waive service of summons and receive an extension but declined to do so;

G	6. Defendant submitted a copy of a conversation that was declared to be true (Dkt.
	17), under penalty of perjury but was an edited version of the events. The edited
	version is more favorable to the defendant;

- H. Exhibit "1", filed herein, is the true and correct email conversation that lead up to Defendant's Motion for an Extension of Time to File an Answer or Otherwise Respond to the Complaint (Dkt. 16).
- 3. By reason of the defendant's bad faith tactics, Plaintiff, Stanislav Arbit, submits that reconsideration of the motion to file an answer (Dkt. 16) is authorized and justified and the corresponding order (Dkt. 20) should be rescinded.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct. Executed this 4th day of August 2023 at Mesa, Arizona.

/Stanislav Arbit/

Stanislav Arbit